UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI **FACULTY SENATE**



Faculty Senate Office Ashe Administration Building, #325 1252 Memorial Drive Coral Gables, FL 33146

facsen@miami.edu web site: www.miami.edu/fs P: 305-284-3721

MEMORANDUM

To:

Richard L. Williamson Mut F. Mel-Je Chair, Faculty Senate

From:

Date:

November 20, 2009

Subject:

Faculty Senate Legislation #2009-07(D) - Recommendations for tenure and

related ballot

On November 18, 2009, the Senate voted to propose to the Provost that he recommend that the Deans consider the use of the attached ballot and/or have their departments do so. The Senate further recommended that the DF-15 form for reporting the vote be modified accordingly to eliminate the check-boxes ("Marginal" etc.).

This ballot, the result of work by a mixed faculty and administration committee, is proposed for a two-year trial period. It is a partial response to concerns that the Provost has expressed about the difficulty of evaluating tenure files when the vote is close and there are numerous abstentions.

This legislation is now forwarded to you for your information.

RW/rh

Enclosure

cc:

Thomas LeBlanc, Executive Vice President and Provost

David Birnbach, Vice Provost, Faculty Affairs

University of Miami (Suggested) BALLOT FORM

For use by Eligible Voting Faculty on Recommendations for Reappointment/Progress Toward Tenure, Mid-Term Review, Promotion and/or Tenure

	Department/School	Meeting	Date	
Na	nme of faculty member under consideration			
Action under consideration (e.g., promotion, tenure, reappointment)				
	ite appointed to University Miami faculty			
	esent rankDat			
lf	not tenured, but on tenure track appointment, date p	robationary period ends_		
lf :	tenured, date tenure awarded			
	tenured and not full Professor, date of last promotion			
enu	ine (1) to record your vote for reappointment are track with a continuing appointment, then us ress toward tenure. Use lines (2) and (3) for car	e line (1) to indicate wh	ether the car	ndidate is making adequate
(1)	Reappointment/Making Adequate Progress Toward	d Tenure:Yes* _	No*	Abstain**
(2)	Promotion:	Yes* _	No*	Abstain**
(3)	Award of Tenure:	Yes* _	No*	Abstain**
qui	ase explain your reason for an abstention in the space _ I abstained because the area is far removed fro alifications or I otherwise do not believe I am suff _ I abstained because there are sufficient reason _ I abstained because I have a conflict of interest _ I abstained for another reason: _ ould be very helpful if you explain in the space below the very activity and contributions, teaching performance and all the for tenure and promotion only in cases where they are pected author) when giving feedback to candidates regard by mous explanatory comments:	m my own or I am not faciciently well-qualified to so to vote either way and with respect to this can be reasons for your vote in the effectiveness, service, and se needed. The Chair may rej	amiliar enougo render a pro la cannot maked didate. erms of quality for clinical activity for to them (with	h with this candidate's fessional opinion. The a decision. and impact of scholarly and lity. Your comments will be

You are encouraged, but not required, to submit to your Chair within the next week a brief signed letter explaining your vote. Such submissions will become part of the candidate's file and will be treated as confidential in the same fashion as are external letters. Receipt will be acknowledged in writing from the Office of the Provost.

11 Nov 2009 – SMG &GWC